

## REMARKS

### **Double Patenting**

Claims 1-3, 7-10, and 14 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1, 5-7 of co-pending Application No. 10/628,165 (publication no US 2005/0026577). In response, Applicant submits the accompanying terminal disclaimer, which is effective to overcome this rejection.

As no other rejections have been advanced, all claims 1-14 are now in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

A credit card authorization is provided herewith to cover the government fee for the accompanying terminal disclaimer. No additional fee is believed to be due in connection with this response to Office Action. If, however, any additional fee is believed to be due, you are hereby authorized to charge any such fee to deposit account No. 20-0778.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Daniel R. McClure

Registration No. 38,962

**Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, LLP**  
100 Galleria Pkwy, NW  
Suite 1750  
Atlanta, GA 30339  
770-933-9500